

Efficient Storage of Whole Human Genomes

Vinicius Vielmo Cogo* and Alysso Bessani
LaSIGE, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal
{vvcogo,anbessani}@ciencias.ulisboa.pt

Whole genome sequencing (WGS) digitises the complete DNA from an organism’s cell. The standard raw data format from WGS is the FASTQ, which is composed of unique unrelated blocks with four lines each (i.e., comments, DNA sequences, and quality scores) [1]. Whole human genomes are big (up to 300GB per individual) and critical (contain identity- and health-related information).

The number of to-be-stored genomes is increasing exponentially, which is motivated by the decreasing cost for sequencing a human genome—\$1000 nowadays [1]. Pressure is on hospitals and biobanks to store millions of genomes, and researchers would like to analyse thousands samples at time. Storing genomes efficiently may accelerate medical breakthroughs, but augments the risks for donors’ privacy. The million-scale size and criticality of sets of genomes require systematic solutions to store and share this data in efficient, scalable, and secure ways.

Privacy-awareness. Some portions of human genomes are more important to our privacy than others. Based on published attacks, we analysed these privacy-sensitive portions and proposed a method that systematically detects them when they are sequenced [2]. Segregating this portion allows systems to explore adaptive access control based on donors’ preferences for each portion, and support diverse dependability, security, and privacy premises for them. The detection method and subsequent steps must scale to large data sets and must support with parallelism the high throughput of WGS.

Cost-efficiency. Data reduction techniques decrease the data size and increase data density—resulting in higher cost-efficiency. However, the specificities of genomes and their formats render standard compression [3] and deduplication algorithms [4] ineffective. Specialised compression algorithms for FASTQ files partially solve the problem with compression ratios up to $5\times$ [3]. Based on the values from Table 1, reducing data $5\times$ still incur in several million

Table 1: Annual storage cost per genome (in \$).

Reduction	Gains	Disk	S3	Glacier
$0\times$ Uncompressed	0%	195	99	25.2
$5\times$	80%	39	19.8	5.04
$200\times$	99.5%	0.975	0.495	0.126

dollars for a million genomes annually. Archiving it on a tape-based solution would also cost dozen million dollars, even if it was optimised to fit in rackscale [5]. These values consider a private infrastructure using hard disks [6] or public clouds—Amazon S3 provides standard storage and Amazon Glacier archival.

Considering human genomes have more than 99.5% of similarity to each other, why does not compression achieve higher data reductions (e.g., $200\times$)? We observed that all compression algorithms for FASTQ consider only the to-be-compressed file or a single reference genome. There is a research opportunity in using the global knowledge on human genomes and inter-genome deduplication to increase the reduction gains towards annual storage costs near \$1 per genome. We are exploring several possibilities: (1) using statistics about common DNA sequences, (2) grouping similar sequences (e.g., with Locality-Sensitive Hashing), (3) detecting and storing their differences, and (4) developing application-specific deduplication algorithms. Besides systems’ performance and scalability, we are investigating also how application-specific knowledge can be used to adapt storage systems to become more efficient.

In conclusion, our contributions on privacy-awareness and cost-efficiency enable the efficient storage of genomic data and make security and dependability more affordable in this scenario.

References

- [1] V. Marx. Biology: The big challenges of big data. *Nature*, 498(7453):255–260, 2013.
- [2] V. Cogo et al. A high-throughput method to detect privacy-sensitive human genomic data. In *14th WPES*, 2015.
- [3] S. Deorowicz and S. Grabowski. Data compression for sequencing data. *Algorithm Mol. Biol.*, 8(1):1, 2013.
- [4] J. Paulo et al. A survey and classification of storage deduplication systems. *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 47(1):11, 2014.
- [5] S. Balakrishnan et al. Pelican: A building block for exascale cold data storage. In *11th OSDI*, 2014.
- [6] D. Haussler et al. A million cancer genome warehouse. Technical report, UCB/EECS, 2012.

*VVC is a PhD student and will present the poster.

†Work supported by FCT-LaSIGE (UID-CEC-00408-2013), and by EC-SUPERCLOUD (H2020-ICT-643964).

